

Dean.S.Borges@fakeaddress.net on 09/06/2001 10:35:03 AM

To: EISR, Bonnie Fogdall/YM/RWDOE

CC:

SEP 06 2001

RECEIVED

Subject: SDEIS Comment

Part of Records Package / Supplement / Correction

September 06, 2001 10:35:03
IP address: 167.154.8.63
> Commentors Name: Dean S Borges P.E> Organization:> Position: citizen
> The Commentors Address: > 1623 Sonoma Street > Carson City, Nevada 89701
> Email Information:> deanborges@aol.com> Add commentor to the mailing list : yes
> Contact Information:> fax number: 775-6876396> phone number: 775-6874670> organization:> position: citizen
> Comment Text : Dear Mr. Secretary Abraham,

Hello, my name is Dean S. Borges, citizen of Nevada. Thank you for this opportunity to make comment on the YMP. I was at your hearings on September 5, 2001 in Carson City and watched my elected officials responses to your proposal in a very curse and angered manner. I've been watching these people ever since this issue was proposed (the screw Nevada Bill - Senator Bryan) and have never witnessed such a galvanized attack from them

You are not allowed to run over the citizens of any State with any proposal that has such a long term committment (10,000 years+) with such a short comment period (90 days), let alone the good citizens of the State of Nevada. Your considerations must be grounded in appropriate science, full of completed studies demonstrating the safety of the proposal in a variety of scenarios. You have not completed some of those studies and therefore must retract this proposal.

I feel that you will receive this comment as the devil would receive holy water. You and your proponents that support the proposal have a tainted view of how Nevadan's live and survive. Our State slogan of "Battle Born" reflects our commitment to the United States. Our involvement with the training and testing of the Nuclear capabilities in WWII are documented and contributed greatly to its success. I submit that no further tolerance of those Carte Blanc abuses will be allowed by Nevada.

Nevadan's have suffered enough destruction of its land, water and air resources by

the United States i.e. Area 51, bombing ranges, and above ground nuclear testing at Yucca Mountain. Our survival is at stake here and nobody outside of Nevada boundaries seems to think that way. I've observed that none of those who benefit from the power generation and consumption industry using Nuclear want their waste. In this case, they use it and they want to lose it (NIMBY cousins). If you cannot find fault within your proposal on technical issues then it should be dismissed on moral issues. Are you a morale man? This issue goes to the fabric of our constitution and States rights. If the US would come to your home and suggest this 10,000 year proposal which will ruin all the resources and life around it for 1,000 miles how would you react?

I'll conclude these remarks now with the hope that you will find the errors of the technical submissions overwhellming and the moral issues overriding any benefits of the proposal. Take the time to do it right. Thank you for your considerations.